













































 Enforcement of SOAR boundaries would consume nearly two-thirds of potentially developable land and compromise future growth beyond 25% envisaged in this study unless densities are increased

GIS telearch

John \ UCGIS Summer Assembly

John Wils

















Site	Area (acres)	Trees	Grass	Shrubs	Buildings	Paved
Commercial	13.6	1.8%	2.7%	0.6%	30.0%	<b>65.9%</b>
Institutional	15.6	8.8%	13.1%	1.1%	26.7%	55.2%
Residential	17.3	7.2%	15.1%	3.4%	33.3%	45.0%
Sacramento						
Commercial		8.0%	11.5%	N/A	20.5%	54.0%
Residential		27.0%	7.0%	N/A	23.5%	33.5%

	Devende Devenue d'Deve Area Deve Verser (He)				Tons		
	O <sub>3</sub> (\$3)	SO <sub>2</sub> (\$2.45)	NO <sub>2</sub> (\$6.90)	Per Year (ID) PM10 (\$5.20)	CO2 (\$1.50)	carbon stored (\$10/t)	Economic Benefits
Current							
Commercial	1.11	0.09	1.02	1.29	0.20	0.013	\$17.73
Institutional	5.04	0.42	4.63	5.89	0.91	0.021	\$80.31
Residential	4.49	0.37	4.12	5.24	0.81	0.053	\$78.81
Scenario 1							
Commercial	3.26	0.27	2.99	3.80	0.59	0.025	\$51.97
Institutional	8.26	0.69	7.58	9.64	1.50	0.080	\$131.95
Residential	7.21	0.60	6.62	8.42	1.31	0.085	\$115.38
Scenario 2							
Commercial	8.85	0.74	8.13	10.34	1.60	0.104	\$141.83
Institutional	11.53	0.96	10.59	13.47	2.09	0.116	\$184.35
Residential	10.33	0.86	9.48	12.06	1.87	0.122	\$165.25



## **GIS Implications**

GIS taboratory

- This work illustrates the value of combining GIS analysis, modeling, and fieldwork
- Must choose and use models carefully a recent paper was rejected because two reviewers concluded that CITYgreen is not a "peer-reviewed" model
- Subsequent work recognizes this flaw takes energy savings from shade trees calculated with CITYgreen and TREES models and compares predictions with energy consumption data in two LA neighborhoods

John Wilso UCGIS Summer Assembly 2009















UCGIS Summe

GIS taboratory























		-			
Sample Habitat Scorecard		+			
		┫			
Number of endangered species	0	- 1			
Number of threatened species	0	- 1			
Presence of recovery area for endangered species	No	- 1			
Presence of recovery area for threatened species	No	- 1			
Presence of habitat for focal species	Yes	- 1			
Restoration potential for focal species	Yes	- 1			
Identification as part of landscape linkage	No	1			
Identification as part of local linkage	Yes	1			
Identification as part of "stepping stone" linkage	No	- 1			
Presence of rare vegetation/wetland type	Yes	1			
Presence of vegetation type not represented in public lands	No	1			
Presence of unique hydrological feature (e.g., vernal pool)	Yes	- 1			
Measures of connectivity (% natural habitat within certain radii)	6%	1			
Measures of natural hydrological function	Moderate	- 1			
Measures of natural fire regime	Low				
CIS research	John V	Vilso			
UCGIS Summer Assembly 20					



	_
Euture Work / Prospecto	
Future work / Prospects –	
<ul> <li>We need to be nimble</li> <li>How might we use GIS to measure the benefits and costs accompanying environmental perturbation (change) – for example, how has the flood hazard been altered during the past century and what is likely to happen in next century?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Place-Based Decision Support for Spatial and Temporal Transference of Risk and Hazards</li> <li>This project examines how various trends and policies influence transfer of risk and hazards across space and time</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>GIS can improve our knowledge of the social, the economic, the political, and the cultural</li> </ul>	
John Wi UCGIS Summer Assembly 2	ilso 200